

Public Document Pack

NOTICE OF MEETING



MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

will meet on

MONDAY, 31ST OCTOBER, 2016

At 6.30 pm

in the

COUNCIL CHAMBER - TOWN HALL, MAIDENHEAD

TO: MEMBERS OF THE MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

COUNCILLORS PHILIP LOVE (CHAIRMAN), CLAIRE STRETTON (VICE-CHAIRMAN),
MARIUS GILMORE, CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH, HARI SHARMA, DEREK WILSON
AND SIMON WERNER

SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

COUNCILLORS SIMON DUDLEY, GEOFF HILL, PAUL LION, MARION MILLS,
ADAM SMITH, LISA TARGOWSKA, MALCOLM BEER OR LYNNE JONES

Karen Shepherd - Democratic Services Manager - Issued: Friday 21 October 2016

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Panel Administrator **Tanya Leftwich** 01628 796345

Fire Alarm - In the event of the fire alarm sounding or other emergency, please leave the building quickly and calmly by the nearest exit. Do not stop to collect personal belongings and do not use the lifts. Congregate in the Town Hall Car Park, Park Street, Maidenhead (immediately adjacent to the Town Hall) and do not re-enter the building until told to do so by a member of staff.

Recording of Meetings – The Council allows the filming, recording and photography of public Council meetings. This may be undertaken by the Council itself, or any person attending the meeting. By entering the meeting room you are acknowledging that you may be audio or video recorded and that this recording will be available for public viewing on the RBWM website. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to the Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting.

AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
1.	<u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u> To receive apologies for absence.	-
2.	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u> To receive Declarations of Interests from Members of the Forum in respect of any item to be considered at the meeting.	5 - 6
3.	<u>MINUTES</u> To confirm the Part I minutes of the meeting of the Forum held on the 29 June 2015.	7 - 12
4.	<u>UPDATE ON THE MAIDENHEAD AND COX GREEN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN</u> <u>Update on the Maidenhead and Cox Green Neighbourhood Plan</u> 1 Current status vis a vis Borough Local Plans 10 minutes 2 Development Working Group 10 minutes 3 Design Working Group 10 minutes 4 Infrastructure Working Group 10 minutes 5 Green and Blue Working Group 10 minutes 6 Breakout sessions with each of the working group leaders 30 minutes 7 Key Points from each Working Group 2 minutes each 8 Wrap-up and next steps	-
5.	<u>ITEM SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE FORUMS</u> The Forum is invited to make suggestions for future meetings.	-
6.	<u>DATE OF FUTURE MEETINGS</u> All future meetings to be held on the following dates (at 6.30pm): ❖ Tuesday 28 th February 2017	-

This page is intentionally left blank

MEMBERS' GUIDANCE NOTE

DECLARING INTERESTS IN MEETINGS

DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS (DPIs)

DPIs include:

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit made in respect of any expenses occurred in carrying out member duties or election expenses.
- Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.
- Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the relevant authority.
- Any license to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where the landlord is the relevant authority, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where
 - a) that body has a piece of business or land in the area of the relevant authority, and
 - b) either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body **or** (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

PREJUDICIAL INTERESTS

This is an interest which a reasonable fair minded and informed member of the public would reasonably believe is so significant that it harms or impairs your ability to judge the public interest. That is, your decision making is influenced by your interest that you are not able to impartially consider only relevant issues.

DECLARING INTERESTS

If you have not disclosed your interest in the register, you **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as you are aware that you have a DPI or Prejudicial Interest. If you have already disclosed the interest in your Register of Interests you are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed. A member with a DPI or Prejudicial Interest **may make representations at the start of the item but must not take part in discussion or vote at a meeting.** The term 'discussion' has been taken to mean a discussion by the members of the committee or other body determining the issue. You should notify Democratic Services before the meeting of your intention to speak. In order to avoid any accusations of taking part in the discussion or vote, you must move to the public area, having made your representations.

If you have any queries then you should obtain advice from the Legal or Democratic Services Officer before participating in the meeting.

If the interest declared has not been entered on to your Register of Interests, you must notify the Monitoring Officer in writing within the next 28 days following the meeting.

This page is intentionally left blank

MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

29 JUNE 2015

PRESENT: Councillors Philip Love (Chairman), Marius Gilmore, Charles Hollingsworth, Hari Dev Sharma and Derek Wilson.

Also Present: Councillor Marion Mills.

Mike Copelands, Richard Davenport, Bob Dulson (PRoM), Mark Fessey, James Harrison, John Morgan, Martin McNamee, Roger Panton and David Snelgar.

Officers: Tanya Leftwich, Peter Lerner and Laura Rheiter.

PART I

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillor Claire Stretton and Steph James (Maidenhead Town Manager).

The Chairman informed everyone present that the meeting was being recorded and would be made available on the RBWM website.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Philip Love declared an interest in the agenda as he was the Chairman of the Maidenhead Neighbourhood Plan.

MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting of the Forum held on 8 October 2015 be approved.

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting and informed them that it was being recorded.

MAIDENHEAD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

The Chairman explained that this meeting had been arranged so that Members could receive a presentation on why the Maidenhead Neighbourhood Plan was an exciting opportunity for everyone to have a say about how the area would develop over the next 10-15 years, would have a proper legal status and discuss issues such as:

- Housing
- Infrastructure
- Tall Buildings
- Green Belt

- Parking
- Crossrail

The Chairman gave Members a presentation on the Neighbourhood Plan. A copy of the presentation was available from the Clerk.

The presentation covered the following:

- Map of Maidenhead showing the Maidenhead Town Centre Area Action Plan Boundary, Neighbourhood Plan area and the Ward boundaries.
- Working Groups (Town Centre, Development, Green and Blue Infrastructure, Design and Community Facilities).
- Assets.
- Heritage Assets.
- Key Items.
- Community Infrastructure Levy.
- The Landing.
- Stafferton Way.

The Chairman explained that the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead was a vanguard in neighbourhood planning. It was noted that the Borough Council was committed to supporting local groups who wished to produce neighbourhood plans to shape development in their areas, along with the strategic policies in the Borough Local Plan. The Chairman explained that he was therefore keen to get Maidenhead residents involved in the Neighbourhood Plan.

It was noted that the Localism Act had encouraged people to have their own say for their area which had in turn resulted in ten community groups being formed to look at neighbourhood plans in the Royal Borough. The Chairman explained that for 2011-2014 the plan had been investigated by an independent investigator. Members were informed that over the last six to seven months lots of appeals had been submitted which had all been dismissed by the investigator. The Chairman explained that the neighbourhood plan had been made part of the development plan for the area at the Council meeting on 29 April 2014. It was noted that the plan had been subject to a referendum on 27 March 2014 with 91% of those voting in the referendum having supported the adoption of the plan by the Royal Borough. It was noted that the population in the Royal Borough equated to approximately 70,000 and was set to grow.

The Chairman welcomed Roger Panton to the meeting who had set up the website <http://www.maidenheadplan.com/> which to date 300 people had logged into to keep up-to-date.

Members were informed of the following timescales:

- Preparation of the neighbourhood plan (estimated 12 months)
- Draft neighbourhood plan stage
 - 6 weeks pre-submission consultation
 - Assessment of consultation responses and revision
 - 6 weeks publicity of plan proposal

- Examination and referendum stage
 - 28 days publication of information prior to referendum

In the ensuing discussion, the following points were noted:

- The Council was currently undertaking a retail mix study to review capacity levels and look at how high buildings should be built.
- The Council was currently assessing sites and potential areas for development that had been identified in the consultation.
- It was noted that the Maidenhead Neighbourhood Plan covered the 'needs' for the next 10-15 years.
- An important exercise was to identify outstanding buildings and roads that were of significant character to the community.
- How the predicted population growth would affect schools, health, community and infrastructure. Identify shortfalls in provision and identify land needed to be safeguarded for neighbourhood facilities in the future.
- That it was down to each working group to decide how often they wished to meet. The Chairman explained that the Council could provide rooms to facilitate these meetings if needed.
- That any policy related document approved by the Local Authority went through a process to check it conformed to existing policy documents. For example any planning document had to conform and work with other policy documents.
- Expected population growth figures were based on census information.
- That the 2011 census data had only become public last year. It was noted that the 0-4 year old age group had significantly increased hence extra school places were being sourced. It was also noted that the demographics regarding older residents were also on the increase as they were staying in their homes longer and using services such as the doctor's surgeries for longer.
- That potential development of 2000+ flats was expected to be built in Maidenhead Town Centre over the next few years. 12,400 was the total figure expected for the whole of the Royal Borough.
- That Crossrail and Riverside would be completed and in place by 2019.
- The Chairman suggested more bungalows were needed with the Royal Borough so that the elderly population were able to downsize housing within the community, to something other than a flat, if they wished to do so. The Chairman explained if it was felt that there was evidence that there was a market for bungalows the Council could start looking to identify sites.
- It was suggested that the term 'bungalow' was very out of date and that it should be called something else.
- That the average size of a new build house had reduced by 35% due to land being so expensive – it was stated by a resident that in his opinion whilst he was not against bungalows he believed they needed too much space to make them viable.
- It was felt by another resident that building bungalows reduced the possibility of high rise developments in Maidenhead.

- The Interim Planning Policy Manager, Peter Lerner, suggested that rather than concentrating on bungalows, land could be secured for the older market aimed at adapting houses for use by the elderly.
- That maintenance costs needed to be taken into account and be affordable. It was suggested that smaller properties may not be the way forward in years to come.
- Roger Panton stated that he believed sooner or later the Council would need to build on the Green Belt and he felt that there was no point in resisting that fact.
- It was suggested that Green Belt sites should only ever be used for exceptional developments such as schools, hospitals, etc.
- The Interim Planning Policy Manager explained that the Green Belt land was designated back in the 1940's / 1950's and that some of the land within the Green Belt was not the same quality as other areas. . It was noted that 83% of the land in the Royal Borough was classed as being in the Green Belt, and
- it was explained that the Council would need to decide if all the Green Belt served a Green Belt purpose. The Interim Planning Policy Manager explained that up until recently the Adams Park area was in the Green Belt but had since been removed. It was noted that 83% of the land in the Royal Borough was classed as being in the Green Belt.
- Councillor Sharma believed there could be brownfield sites that could be explored for development.
- Roger Panton went onto explain that a number of people had mentioned parking issues and that the parking allocation provided per unit did not reflect current ownership of vehicles in the Royal Borough.
- That extra floors for parking were being proposed in the Nicholson's Car Park. It was noted that the Nicholson's Shopping Centre Car Park had recently been purchased and was planning to be regenerated.
- It was noted that the use of public transport needed to be encouraged.
- Councillor Sharma stated that he believed the Royal Borough needed a good sustainable transport system with proper linkage between trains, buses and the Town Centre put in place. The new bus station in High Wycombe was given as an example as it was considered to be accessible to all.
- That MAERSK were coming to Maidenhead.
- The Chairman explained that whilst Members had helped get the Neighbourhood Plans in their wards started they should be completed by residents. It was noted that Cox Green Neighbourhood Plan group met on a bi-monthly basis.
- The Chairman explained that the working groups would require 3-4 hours per week to get them started. It was noted that each working group required a core team of approximately 4-5 people to drive it through.
- Richard Davenport stated that he would be happy to chair the Town Centre working group.
- Mike Copelands stated that he would be happy to chair another of the working groups.
- The Chairman requested email addresses and working group preferences.
- The 'vision' for the Town Centre was ever changing and could be found on the RBWM website.

- It was noted that the Heathrow / Gatwick expansion proposals would soon be decided on and if the Heathrow option was chosen it would be a good time to include the additional need for housing in the Neighbourhood Plan.
- The Chairman explained that the station area in Maidenhead was considered an opportunity area and that the Council planned to employ a Development Manager that would speak to the two stakeholders concerned.
- The Chairman explained that it was a challenge getting the message about the working groups out into the public domain. Councillor Derek Wilson suggested that the press could report that anyone interested in getting involved between the ages of 18-30 should email maidenheadplan@gmail.com

The Chairman thanked Roger Panton and everyone present for attending the meeting.

ITEM SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE FORUMS

The Chairman informed everyone present that future meetings would be a forum where ideas could be put forward and discussed as decided as to whether they were believed to be feasible.

It was requested that item suggestions be emailed to tanya.leftwich@rbwm.gov.uk

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Members noted the date of the future meetings as follows:

- Tuesday 27 October 2015.
- Monday 29 February 2016.

MEETING

The meeting, which began at 6.30pm, ended at 8pm.

This page is intentionally left blank